

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,523	11/10/2003	Martin Hans	10191/2479B 4852		
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 09/27/2007 CENYON LLP	1 FXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY			LAM, DUNG LE		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kenyon.com

.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/705,523	HANS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung Lam	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 16-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	\square accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/12/07 has been entered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The invention revolves around claims 16 and 20, which describe a method of assigning a channel that has the least transmission power and dynamically changing to another better quality channel when the quality of the current connection falls below a threshold. However, none of the drawings 1-4 illustrates this concept. The examiner suggests revising the drawing to illustrate a flow chart as a summary of the claimed invention. Therefore, limitations of the methods claimed must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Art Unit: 2617

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims **16, 27 and 28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Chuang el al* (US Patent Number **5,212,831**).

Regarding *claim 16*, Chuang teaches a method of assigning transmission channels in a telecommunications network having a plurality of base stations (base units/ports 30, 40, 50, 60, Col. 10 ln 22-52) and a plurality of mobile stations (portables, 34, 36, 48, 72), the transmission channels being provided for transmitting signals between the plurality of base stations and the plurality of mobile stations, the method comprising (see Abstract): in an uncoordinated operation of the base stations for one of establishing a connection and during a connection between one of the base stations and one of the mobile stations (C12 L59 -C13 L14, Abstract), assigning at least one of the transmission channels of the transmission of signals between the one of the base stations and the one of the mobile stations to one of a connection to be established (C12 L4-8) and an existing connection as a function of a channel measurement (frequency channel with the lowest received power is assigned, Abstract and Col. 11 In

Number: 10/1/05,52

Art Unit: 2617

66- col. 12 Ln 34); and measuring a transmission power on all possible transmission channels, when a previously measured transmission power on the transmission channel is minimal (C10 L28-31, this procedure is repeated by all the ports/base units either independently, Abstract).

4. Regarding *claim* 27, Chuang teaches a user station of a telecommunications network which has a plurality of base stations (base units/ports 30, 40, 50, 60, Col. 10 ln 22-52) and a plurality of mobile stations (portables, 34, 36, 48, 72), transmission channels being provided for transmitting signals between the plurality of base stations and the plurality of mobile stations, comprising: a channel measurement arrangement for performing a channel measurement by measuring a transmission power of a signal received by the user station on all possible ones of the transmission (Col. 11 ln 66- col. 12 Ln 34);

and a channel assignment arrangement for assigning, while channels in an uncoordinated operation of the base stations (C12 L59 -C13 L14), at least of the transmission channels for transmitting signals between the user station and only one additional user station (C10 L28-31) as a function of the channel measurement, if a previously measured transmission power of the at least one assigned transmission channel is minimal (frequency channel with the lowest received power is assigned, Abstract and Col. 11 In 66- col. 12 Ln 34).

Art Unit: 2617

5. Regarding *claim 28*, Chuang teaches a user station according to claim 27, wherein the user station includes one of a base station (base units/ports 30) and a mobile station (portables, 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims **24 and 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chuang el al* (US Patent Number **5,212,831**) in view of *Toshiyuki et al.* (US Patent **No. 5,093,924**).
- 7. Regarding *claim 24*, Chuang teaches the method according to claim 16. However, Chuang does not teach that at least one of the base stations transmits specific information via a broadcast channel to all of the mobile stations within a reception range of the at least one of the base stations, and the broadcast channel is changed if an interference detected on the broadcast channel exceeds a pre-selected value. In an analogous art, *Toshiyuki* teaches the use of a broadcast channel for paging and sending control information purpose (col. 7 ln 12- col. 8 ln 36) and that the interference level of a channel does not satisfies a predetermined quality, a different channel is selected. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2617

the art at the time of the invention to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and Toshiyuki's well known concept of using broadcast channel to send control information and selecting a channel that yields the satisfactory interference value to ensure that the system's Qos is at its maximum level.

- 8. With further regard to *claim 25*, Chuang teaches a method according to claim 24, wherein Toshiyuki teaches at least one of the transmission channels is reserved for use as the broadcast channel (col. 7 ln 12- col. 8 ln 36). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and Toshiyuki's known in art concept of using broadcast channel to send control information to facilitate the communications between the mobiles and the network.
- 9. Claims 17-19, 26, 29-30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chuang el al* (US Patent Number 5,212,831) in view of *Chuang2000* (US Patent No. 6,052,594).
- 10. Regarding *claim* 17, Chuang teaches a method according to claim 16 except the use of codes to spread and despread when performing channel measurement. In an analogous art, Chuang2000 teaches the use of codes to spread at least one transmission resource into a plurality of the transmission channels, wherein the channel measurement includes a code measurement, in which a received signal for each transmission resource is despread using predefined ones of the codes to measure the transmission power in each of the transmission channels (col. 5 ln 28-44). Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2617

would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment with Chuang2000's teaching of code spreading to increase the system's capacity and reduce interference and eavesdropping (suggested by Chuang2000, col.2 ln 25-40).

- 11. With further regard to **claim 18**, Chuang in view of Chuang2000 teaches that the codes are provided for spreading at least one of a time slot and a frequency band (col. 5 ln 28-44).
- 12. With further regard to **claim 19 and 30,** Chuang in view of Chuang2000 teaches a method according to claim 16 and 27 respectively, wherein the channel measurement is performed while a connection is being established (receive signal and simultaneously measure, col. 5 ln 28-44).
- 13. Regarding *claim 26 and 29*, Chuang teaches a method according to claims 16 and 27 except the following steps of scrambling a new code with a transmission channel if a transmission capacity of the transmission channels established for assignment is not sufficient and assigned a new scrambled channel with the least transmission power. In an analogous art, Chuang2000 teaches the concept of using CDMA technology of scrambling channels with codes to increase capacity (col.2 ln 25-40), and the steps of (A) scrambling at least one of the transmission channels with a new scrambling code (Col. 6 ln. 2-12, Col. 5 ln. 28-44). Furthermore, Chuang teaches the step of (B) assigning the at least one transmission channel for transmitting signals between one of the base stations and one of the mobile stations as a function of a channel measurement, wherein transmission power of all channels are measured and the one

Art Unit: 2617

with the minimum power is assigned (**Chuang** Col. 5 In. 28-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Chuang2000's teaching of code scrambling to Chuang's teaching of channel measurement and assignment to increase the system's capacity and decrease the interference level.

Regarding *claim 32*, Chuang teaches the user station according to claim 27, except the steps of assigning a new scrambling coed with a transmission based on the channel measurement assigned a new scrambled channel with the least transmission power.

In an analogous art, **Chuang2000** teaches the concept of using CDMA technology of scrambling channels with codes to increase capacity (col.2 In 25-40), and the steps of (A) scrambling at least one of the transmission channels with a new scrambling code (Col. 6 In. 2-12, Col. 5 In. 28-44). Furthermore, **Chuang** teaches the step of (B) assigning the at least one transmission channel for transmitting signals between one of the base stations and one of the mobile stations as a function of a channel measurement, wherein transmission power of all channels are measured and the one with the minimum power is assigned (**Chuang** Col. 5 In. 28-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Chuang2000's teaching of code scrambling to Chuang's teaching of channel measurement and assignment to increase the system's capacity and decrease the interference level.

Art Unit: 2617

15. Claim **20 and 31** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chuang el al** (US Patent Number **5,212,831**) in view of **H'mimy** (US Patent **No. 6,442,152**).

- 16. Regarding *claim* 20, Chuang teaches the method according to claim 16. However, Chuang does not teach that a new channel is selected if the connection quality falls befow a level. In an analogous art, *H'mimy* teaches that the channel measurement is performed during an existing connection between one of the base stations and one of the mobile stations, and wherein a connection quality of the existing connection is measured in parallel, and, if the connection quality falls below a preselected value, a channel change is performed and at least one new transmission channel is assigned as a function of a channel measurement of the existing connection (Col. 3, In 23-34, col. 6 in 6-10). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and H'mimmy's teaching of reassigning a channel when the quality falls below a pre-selected value because this channel reassignment would prevent the system's quality of service from degrading to an unacceptable level.
- 17. Regarding *claim* 31, Chuang teaches the user station according to claim 27. However, Chuang does not teach that a new channel is selected if the connection quality falls below a level. In an analogous art, *H'mimy* teaches that the connection quality arrangement for measuring in parallel a connection quality of an existing connection between the user station and the additional user station; wherein the

Art Unit: 2617

channel assignment arrangement performs a channel change if the connection quality falls below a preselected value, so that at least one new transmission channel is assigned as a function of a channel measurement of the existing connection, and the channel measurement arrangement performs the channel measurement during the existing connection. (Col. 3, ln 23-34, col. 6 ln 6-10). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and H'mimmy's teaching of reassigning a channel when the quality falls below a pre-selected value because this channel reassignment would prevent the system's quality of service from degrading to an unacceptable level.

18.

- 19. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chuang* el al (US Patent Number 5,212,831) in view of *Wejke et al.* (US Patent No. 5,175,867).
- 20. Regarding *claim* 21, Chuang teaches the method according to claim 16. However, Chuang does not teach that a channel measurement for an assignment of at least one transmission channel in an uplink transmission direction from one of the mobile stations to one of the base stations is performed by a corresponding one of the base stations, and a channel measurement for an assignment of at least one transmission channel in a downlink transmission direction from one of the base stations to one of the mobile stations is performed by a corresponding one of the mobile stations. In an analogous art, **Wejke** teaches a base station measuring a signal

Art Unit: 2617

strength of the uplink time slot assigned to that mobile station. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and Wejke's teaching of measuring the uplink by the base station before assigning the channel to maximize the system's quality of service.

21.

- 22. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chuang* et. al (US Patent Number 5,212,831) in view of Mizoguchi (US Pub No. 2001/004687).
- 23. Regarding *claim* 22, Chuang teaches the method according to claim 16.

 However, Chuang does not explicitly teach that the step of assigning at least one of the transmission channels includes assigning at least one of the transmission channels in at least an uplink transmission direction from one of the mobile stations to one of the base stations, the assigning being performed by a corresponding one of the base stations. In an analogous art, **Mizoguchi** teaches a base station assigning an uplink channel. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and Mizoguchi's teaching of assigning an uplink because the base station knows its current resource status for uplink transmission and thus allows a more suitable uplink channel allocation.
- Claims 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chuang el al* (US Patent Number 5,212,831) in view of *Lan* (US Publication No. 2004/0214582).

Art Unit: 2617

25. Regarding *claim* 23, Chuang teaches the method according to claim 16. However, Chuang does not teach that the step of assigning at least one of the transmission channels includes assigning at least one of the transmission channels in at least a downlink transmission direction from one of the base stations to one of the mobile stations, the assigning being performed by a corresponding one of the mobile stations. In an analogous art, Lan teaches a mobile station selecting a downlink timeslot (para. 202). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine. Chuang's teaching of channel assignment and Lan's teaching of a mobile station to select the downlink channel because the mobile station knows its current resource status for downlink transmission and thus allows a more suitable downlink channel allocation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/16/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Chuang's teaching is an off-line process and thus does not read on the claim. The examiner disagrees. Chuang teaches that the frequency channel assignment process maybe off-line. However, Chuang also teaches that this process may also occurs in real-time as part of the link access protocol (C12 L4-8). Thus Chuang's teaching still reads on the claim.

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER